

# *The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006*

---

## *Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers*

10-Jun-2006

Reply to “Vanguard Calling”

Dear brother/sister (?) from Vanguard Calling,

ON Sunday, 04-June-2006, you wrote an extensive E-mail which was critical of the Mustard Seed Advent (MSA) and its written work, the Mustard Seed Chronicle (MSC). Therefore, in these past few days, I have carefully prepared a reply to address your issues to you and to Davidia as your concerns may be shared by many.

You say in your E-mail reply, “*in reviewing over some of these materials there are numerous ideas...*” take this as a confession that you have not fulfilled your obligation to read the entire book. If so, please immediately dismiss yourself as a Davidian “vanguard”, for such individuals will manifest much more integrity than to judge a matter before fully hearing it. Those who will lead Davidia home will not be your normal, “run of the mill” Laodiceans; “they are men wondered at.” —Zech 3: 8. Such men of high and lofty turpitude are those whom the Lord will elect to rule Israel and the planet. They are not shoot-from-the-hip, half-cocked pretenders.

They, equally out of the principle of integrity, find themselves attaching their name to their work. To them, it is an honor of highest calling to participate in God’s work. Thus, rather than operating out of the dark, they will leave that tactic to the enemy. Yet you (whoever you are) have chosen to launch a clandestine spiritual operation as a sniper from the bushes, so to speak. Evidently, you are attempting to efface yourself, lose your identity, and oxymoronically still participate in open, honorable discussions. But how can any reputable Davidian ascribe integrity to your work when you will not even put your own name on it? Whoever you are, you evidently relish the goal of unity as described at Pentecost as a portion of your E-mail address reads “on one accord”. You pursue this goal with less honor than the original disciples, and I suggest that if you really desire to be “on one accord” with the brethren who will lead this work, you had better begin by remembering that it was the good character displayed by Abraham which won for him the Lord’s favor (Gen 16-18). Do not think for one moment, that the Lord will elect men of less character to preside over his, Abraham’s, promised seed.

**SECTION ONE: The Updated Spirit of Prophecy** ~~~~~ In your E-mail you make an appeal which is reminiscent of the modus operandi of our nominal, SDA, lukewarm-Laodicean brethren. However, I am saddened to say that you, by virtue of your obvious experience in the *Rod* and by your similar claims of doctrinal wealth, have doubled their sin. And in your state of double-deceived, comatose-cozied lukewarmness, you echo the favorite refrain of the church by urging Davidia to burn the booklet which I have produced and which, very literally, comes to them in the name of the Lord. This demeanor is reminiscent of the experiences which all present-truth pioneers have experienced, including VTH: **[GOLDEN BOWL REFERENCE, (abbreviation: GBR)**

**A1]**

*“Now let me tell you of another class of individuals from whom I often hear. Listen to what they say: ‘Please keep your “Rod” to yourself, take my name from your mailing list. I am not in the least interested in what you are doing. Your booklets go into the fire just as soon as they come. I never read them and I never will ---no, not a line. I am satisfied [lukewarm] with my religion. I belong to the true remnant church and I expect to go with it. How dare you try to deceive me?’ ”* —VT Houteff, 1TG 8, p 19 & 20.

VTH placed you in a spiritual category, one which you have chosen for yourself; he groups you with “*another class of individuals*”, the book burning class. And since this class, by *Rod* definition is not spiritually exalted, I will call it a “lower class”. But, why do you desire to encourage

## Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers

enlightened Davidians to countervail the 70 plus years of tenacious and tedious training to walk in the light —not stand in the spot light— to now pursue a path that is contrary to their training and to join you in your low “class” behavior; why work like the devil to cast the Lord’s jewels into hell? Is it merely so that you can have company for yourself when you arrive? For VTH, the prophet whose work you claim to elevate, in continuation of his above statement, he outlines the low path which you have chosen: **[GBR, A<sub>2</sub>]**

*“Now let me tell you of another class ... This group of Laodiceans will never, never have their eyes opened, will never be anything but wretched, miserable, poor, blind, and naked. They can never be reached by Heaven, not even by the Lord Himself. If they thus continue, then the only thing Christ can do is to spue them out of His mouth...Anything new from the Bible that is brought out by anyone but themselves, even though purported to be through inspiration, they hastily brand ‘deception,’ though they are already in a gross self deception. They read the Bible with the hope of finding proof by which to disagree with everyone but themselves.” —Ibd :3*

Remarkably, the burning of literature, produced by men who claim “inspiration”, is evidently the perfect formula recommended by you for unity in Davidia, and you do so under the guise of being “100% Rod Only Davidian” —what mockery and hypocrisy— no wonder VTH was careful to identify your low (hell bound and vomit inspiring) class. You, one who is unwitting of the fact that Micah 6: 9 —a golden-bowl scripture quoted profusely in the *Rod*— validates my work. After it describes the voice we are all commanded to hear, it next identifies the response from the singular man, the *mustard seed*, when it says, “...*Wisdom shall see thy name*”. In your low-class estate, you have not discerned from the Bowl, the very phenomenal outcome which Micah 6: 9 promises is to occur within Davidia from their obedience to the command to hear the *Rod*: You have failed to discern that someone, a *faithful and WISE steward*, is to emerge from his *Rod*-hearing experience with more insight about the Lord; he is to finally see the Lord’s name; he identifies Christ. Instead of this appreciation, you make an illegal and unlicensed (see **GBR, I & K** below) sorry attempt to advance your lukewarm, hell-bound, low-class warfare even further by quoting the following statement from the *Rod*, **[GBR, B]**

*“The Shepherd’s Rod, the books that inspiration so named and inspired its contents, being the only Rod in the world that can be heard speaking, the Lord commands that you should hear it, that you do not waste any time in deciding between the Rod literature and some other. For there is none other today than the Rod literature which God recommends you to hear. And when you hear the Rod, you will find yourself wrapped in the love of Christ and in the ‘arms’ of God. Try it.” — VT Houteff, 2 TG 34:28-29*

I ask you a simple question: How can you “*find yourself wrapped in the love of Christ*” if you have never learned from the *Rod* the distinct person Whom He really is, if you do not see Christ’s name? The entire purpose of the *Rod* message was to allow that the man of wisdom shall see (His) name facilitating the faithful to become wrapped in the Love of Christ. But beyond that necessary epiphany, these words which you quote from VTH to fight the MSC were recorded by him in 1948, therefore, when he suggested that we should not study any additional message he did so by using a key adverb which you have overlooked: he said “**TODAY**”. How could you be so careless in your perusal of the *Rod* so as to ignore its very own inspired recipe for receiving its message? You are commanded by VTH to be earnest and diligent in your perusal of Truth; you were also expressly commanded to examine every word (see **GBR<sub>K</sub>** below). Thus, by your ignorance of the word “today”, in addition to attributing to yourself the titles of a low “class” book burner and a lukewarm, vomit-inspiring Laodicean, you have also won the title of a commandment breaker. VTH’s, intention was not to preprogram the Lord’s relationship with His church tomorrow; his purpose was that in 1948 the church should not seek to master tomorrow’s or for that matter, yesterday’s meat by reading other material. They were to be engaged in the challenge of mastering the meat which the Lord was serving them in that day, 1948 (1930 - 1955). They did not have the luxury of seeking after tomorrow’s manna. But notice the genius of VTH’s statement: He, by making a subtle reference to Micah 6:9, was properly validating his counsel with scripture; he argued that “*it is the books that inspiration so named...being the only Rod...that can be heard*”. Today, the Lord provides equal validation for the *mustard seed* (see below **GBR<sub>L</sub> & M**). Similarly, just as yesterday’s manna to Israel was of no benefit as a dietary cuisine for the church on the following day, the same with the *Rod* as

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006

---

presented from 1930 to 1988. Today we are challenged to discern any portions of the Rod which have likewise become stale (see **GBR c** & **a** below). The MSC is in full compliance with the wisdom unfolded in 2 *TG* 34. (**GBR b**) above. It was not on the scene then; its author was not even born until 1956, a year after VTH's passing. When he said, "FOR THERE IS NONE OTHER TODAY THAN THE ROD" he did not exclude my work as your partial "half-cocked analysis" has led you to believe. You desire to add to his work to make it read falsely to say, "there will never be any other message to come". My brother/sister, if you do not see the difference between 1948 and today, 58 years later, you are lost in a time warp. Many people born in 1948 already have grandchildren. What was present truth then is not so today; we are at least two generations removed from thence. Our age requires, "meat in due season" (see **GBR d** below). If the Lord would be considerate enough to unfold the ministry of Christ immediately after John the Baptist finished his work, If He would send forth the Pentecostal ministry weeks after Jesus ascended, if He would grace the church with the inspiration of EGW within months of Wm Miller's passing, and then send the *Rod* 15 years after the passing of EGW, then what would cause any to presume that He would make this generation —the last one, the one under the world's greatest assault, the age which has fallen deeply into sin, the people most in need of the Lord's graces— to starve for almost 60 years without inspiration? Why do you think He would not send to us one who can express His word more fully? "*By a prophet the Lord brought Israel out of Egypt, and by a prophet was he preserved.*" —Hos 12: 13 (a Rod Validated, Golden Bowl Scripture, see 4T. , 42, 3Ans. 22, etc.) EGW said, [**GBR, C**] "**special truths have been adapted to the conditions of the GENERATIONS as they have existed. The present truth, which is a test to the PEOPLE OF THIS GENERATION, was not a test to the people of generations far back**" —EG White, V2 693. Also from the Bowl: [**GBR, D**]

*"Moreover, God invariably test His people in order to teach them that truth is progressive and that no one can ever find truth beyond what He reveals. And that no servant of His has ever been given knowledge of the whole truth; that it will take all of them together to bring forth the whole truth; that He gives "meat in due season"; that He does not feed His flock of old stale food, but with food fresh and up-to-date; that He leads them to green pastures in their season; that He accommodates them with truth especially adapted to meet their needs for the time, rather than having them spiritually starving while trying to survive on rehashed old worn out truths, and rather than to keep them blind of the signs of the times of the things that take place on earth [like 911], as well as of those that take place in heaven it is His desire that we know what He would have us to do. His church is to be the light in the world ---not especially to illuminate the past or the future, but rather the present."* — VT Houteff, 16T, p55 {all emphasis and brackets added}

You continue to use the Rod's statements of yesterday to apply to "this generation" under the apparent assumption that the Lord did not foresee special challenges that are applicable to us and not to the church in 1948-1955. Therefore, according to VTH's counsel all must ask: is your spin on his work a manifestation of God's will for us today or are you "**rehashing old worn out truths**". Your E-mail delivers the following VTH reference: [**GBR, E**]

*"So, unless we know what our weakest spot is how could we know where the Devil is going to attack us? The enemy made Laodiceans believe they have no need of more truth, that they have all truth that is necessary to get them through heaven's portals...Now we really are enriched with truth if we have studied and assimilated what has been given us, for we have made available to us the 'gold that is tried in the fire'...Therefore the Devil is not going to attack us where he attacked Laodiceans, but he will tell us that we are poor in truth. He will do this in almost any way."* — VT Houteff, 11 SC 2:10, 11.

Yesterday (50 years or two plus generations ago), when VTH delivered this message, it was then fresh — not "**old or worn out**". But the dominant theme of his work was to deliver the message of the judgment for the SDA church containing both nominal and Davidian members. Consequently, throughout the *Rod* the warning is given to Laodicea that she will be spewed out for making one very simple claim: that of being rich and increased with goods and in need of nothing. This prophetic message delivered to us by the Holy Spirit through VTH applied —not to yesterday, but— to the actual moment of the Lord's visitation; it will never be "**old and worn out**" until after it happens. According to VTH and the Lord, only those who are "hot or cold", dissatisfied with their

## Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers

condition and seeking more light, will be spared. Therefore, the warning against the devil's strategy to deceive us mentioned above in GBR,E could only be a beneficial precaution to those men who advanced in the light yesterday through being "hot or cold" dissatisfied—they truly did not then need to seek greater light or tomorrow's manna. It was an obvious warning to those men of yesterday who were either desirous to only sit at the feet of their deceased prophet then, EGW, or who ignored the mandate to earnestly "masticate" (study every word) of the Lord's provisions then by looking forward to hoarding for themselves tomorrow's meat. Since your declaration against the MSC is more than 50 years afterwards and is a cry of complete satisfaction, a claim that you need only doctrines handed to you in the 1950s—the *Rod* and nothing else—then you, being a *Rod*-advanced Seventh-day Adventist, are two fold the vomit-inspiring, lukewarm Laodicean, scheduled to be among the first to be cut down should you not change (*see 8t, p 71 where VTH describes, Davidians, or citizens of the kingdom [a different group from those who refused to increase their talents] they are those who, just before the Lord's return refused to have "this man to reign over them" and were given a special destruction*) You cannot find solace in Golden Bowl reference E above (GBR E) for it is only describing "earnest" and "diligent" saints, people who chew their spiritual food carefully, who "*have studied and assimilated what has been given us*". Today, this phrase could only be a description of those doctrines which the Lord has advanced to us comprehensively in 1844, 1850, 1900, 1930, 1948, 1970, 1994-to the present, in each generation. Your tactic will yield for Davidia severe spiritual indigestion and abdominal pains, for you recommend that she consume "old and worn out truths": instead of fresh manna; you offer up food fit only for worms. [**Notwithstanding they hearkened not unto Moses; but some of them left of it until the morning, and it bred worms and stank: and Moses was wroth with them**—Exod 16: 20]. Remember, every time in New Testament history when a message has emerged, without fail, all those in opposition have done as you do and claimed that the new message violates the claims of yesterday's prophets. Why have you carelessly ignored this historical fact? [GBR, F]

*"It is surprisingly alarming to note how little thought has been given to the method which Inspiration has ever employed in promoting truth, and to the people's way of responding to it...it is very important that we carefully look into it, so as to know what to watch for, and what to expect, if and when Inspiration again begins to unroll the Scroll of Prophecy. Failing to respond to Inspiration's plea, and failing to recognize that it is the voice of the Spirit of God, would mean sinning against the Holy Ghost...How essential then is it that we equip ourselves with the knowledge of this most important phenomenon of our salvation"* — VT Houteff, 16T, 47 [Emphasis added]

Interesting, the Golden bowl not only prepared us for the possibility of another message, it also anticipated your negative reaction. If you, the clandestine "Vanguard calling" sniper, disagree, then merely show a generation that did otherwise and readily embraced the new light sent to them. The case of Jesus working on the Sabbath is a classic example to prove that you are misguided in this regard. The carnal church of His day likewise asserted as you do and forwarded the non-scriptural, man-made law that you now desire to re-impose upon the church when you state: "*God's true Prophets do not contradict each other*". We will call this the "**Vanguard calling, Pharisaical, self-made law of prophetic consistency**": [Definition: **Law, a binding custom or practice..., a rule of conduct or action...(Webster)**]. When Jesus was assailed by your ideological predecessors for working on the Sabbath, He did not deny their accusation of Sabbath violation; rather, He said, "**...My Father worketh hitherto, and I work.**" (John 5: 17). In view of this response, please tell me, according to your self-made law of prophetic consistency, which of the prophets in that scenario were false and not sent of "God", Jesus or Moses? Because Jesus thusly updated Moses' prophecy, many Adventist involved in medical work, legitimately perform their medical services on the Sabbath, and we can all thank the Lord that by His graces He repudiated your restrictive, non-scriptural *law of prophetic consistency*. Consider a second example: what about Jesus' diet whereby He, unlike John the Baptist, consumed all lawful foods: who was the untrue prophet in that obviously contradictory episode, John the Baptist, the man whom the Lord called the greatest of all prophets, or Jesus, the Son of God? What about EGW and William Miller? One declared that *Daniel 8* announced the coming of the Lord to "cleanse" the earth, the other defied that analysis. Since they disagreed, who was "sent of God"; who was the true prophet

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006

---

then? What about VTH and EGW: which of them were true and which one was false? VTH pointed to the scriptures and showed the Lord rebuilding of Jerusalem; EGW disagreed. To help you find your way through the maze in which you have entrapped yourself, consider the possibility that, contrary to your presumptive *law of prophetic consistency*, you are deceived, ignorant, and lukewarm. To validate this consideration, please examine the “Bowl’s” testimony below; it shows your dilemma: **[GBR, H]**

*“Clearly, then, lukewarmness and the hallucination of being rich are the faults peculiar to the Laodiceans, and are the dangers which if not recognized and removed are finally to result in God’s spuing them out of His mouth (Rev 3:16) Thus again the Lord mercifully pleads with **PRESENT-TRUTH BELIEVERS** to walk in the light and shun lukewarmness, lest they fall back into thinking themselves rich and increased with goods and in need of nothing, and again become poor and in need of everything. Thus we see that while Satan has not been able to overthrow every individual member, he has, though, been able to overthrow every movement to date...”* — VT Houteff, 1A, p 66, 67 [Emphasis added]

**SECTION TWO: 100%-Davidian Hypocrisy** ~~~~~ You say, “now let us try the spirit that led you to make these conclusions and measure them against the Rod.” With this attempt to “harmonize” my work with the Rod’s, you are in violation of God’s own laws. Therefore, your bold, sinful, and idolatrous statement forces me to immediately give you the Lord’s counsel: Instead of committing the violation shown in the above section, that of dreaming up phony laws to challenge God’s wisdom, why not first abide by the ones which He has instituted for you. Then, having done so, in the Kingdom, He will keep His word and make of you a “ruler over a (at least one) city” so that you too can become a law maker and can, with greater credibility, demand of your subordinates that they comply with your authority. Failing to do so, you have obviously picked your favorite Rod references and have discarded all others in typical lukewarm Laodicean and Pharisaical fashion. For no true Rod student would violate its commands and ignore VTH’s (“the Bowls”) very own prescribed protocol on how to evaluate light. He said; **[GBR, I]**

*“Although the doctrine of the Kingdom may not appear quite so complete under the lens of Sister White’s writings as under the lens of the Rod, one dare not thus superficially reject either, but must ...compare both views of the doctrine under the super lens of the Bible. He must keep in mind that we are not given license to harmonize the Bible with any other writings, but are charged to measure all others by it”* — VT Houteff, 2A, p 74.

By your approach of measuring claims of inspired light by the Rod, you have been defined by the Bowl itself as an “unlicensed” and illegal outlaw of that very message. This would be laughable if it were not so tragic. Yet, you profess to get your oil from the Bowl —how hypocritical! Evidently, you have also failed to discern the duplicity of your claim to be a 100% -Rod-only Davidian, one who gets his oil from the Bowl. If such a descriptive title belonged to you then you would not have ignored the disclaimer which Inspiration placed on VTH’s work: **[GOLDEN BOWL REFERENCE, (GBR) K]** *“the only safe and sane procedure is to read closely every page of the solemn message contained in the Shepherd’s Rod publication. Let not a line escape your attention. Study every word carefully and prayerfully...prove all things; hold fast that which is good.”* — VT Houteff, 5A 29. Are you safe? Are you sane? Rather than spending months in therapy searching for the truth, let this (Divinely appointed therapist) counselor of the Lord (Isa 46:11), this “man of wisdom” help you to discern. The above VTH statement is a greatly ignored, “golden bowl” statement; it gives all a window into how you are to measure up to the Rod. It overrides any other statement which VTH issued and which you quote in your E-mail for it prescribes to you how to approach the entire Rod message. Thus it covers statements in the Codes, in the Timely Greeting, in the Volumes, in the Tracts: it covers any statement which you attribute as being part of the Rod or the Bowl. You are constrained to read every word, but the formula for divine sanity takes an unexpected turn, a modest mandate: We are not told to hold fast to every word. But even if we were, as proven already, you have failed miserably. You are commanded (remember salvation is promised to those who follow the instructions given in the SOP) to do, just as the MSA and its adherents have done, to hold fast to that which is good. By the way, the only source of proof acceptable by VTH is the

## Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers

Bible. Therefore, any statement in the *Rod*, including quotes from EGW, from the Scripture, from questions and answers presented in *The Answerer*, etc, all fall under this divine mandate; these are all part of the *Shepherd's Rod* publications. In contradiction to this *Rod* rule of “safety” and “sanity”, your 100%-*Rod*-only emphasis appears to apply to only summary conclusions made by VTH. It excludes his theme of every word contained therein and is therefore in violation to VTH’s counsel; thus as a result of your faulty logic, (insanity?) you are not 100% *Rod* only. Both EGW and VTH demand that you measure any light —not by their writings, but— by the Bible. We are not given license to do otherwise.

Yet, consider for a moment the hypocrisy that you are promoting by illegally comparing my work to that of VTH’s: When the mother church, Laban (LIKE JACOB OF OLD, THE AUTHORITY FROM WHICH ALL DAVIDIANS FORMERLY WORK UNDER AND FROM WHICH WE HAVE ESCAPED WITHOUT HIS, LABAN’S FOREKNOWLEDGE OR APPROVAL) when she challenges Davidians on issues such as the Kingdom or the brethren of experience, we object to her analysis and demand to be measured by a scriptural foundation and not by a self-defined “lesser light”. We insist that to put any other source of light above the Bible is idolatry, a false system of worship. But now, to seal yourself in the same lukewarmness, you are committing the same sin; you have stolen Laban’s Idol and hidden it in your stuff. Do not repeat the sin of Rachel, for Jacob’s sorrow, she died on her way to the Kingdom.

Even if the *Rod* did not command that we abide by every word and only consider its evaluations and summaries, then you would still be in noncompliance with its counsel for you have ignored his statement about the Mustard Seed Kingdom. He says, **[GBR, L]**

*“The work of gathering set forth in these scriptures, shows that before the resurrection of the righteous (1Thess. 4:16) and before the pre-millennial destruction of the nations, the Lord is to make up His kingdom at first of the living saints only...Its being, furthermore, at first but a very small part of the mountain, shows that the kingdom has a very small beginning, just as the Lord says: “the kingdom of heaven is like to a grain of mustard seed...” — VT Houteff , 9T 40,41, [emphasis added]*

VTH, by virtue of his death in 1955, could not be the *Mustard Seed*, prescribed by him. For even though some may claim that he also began in a very small way, his work is eliminated by this description because he is now deceased; to the contrary, the *Mustard Seed Kingdom* is to begin “at first” with living saints only”. VTH began “at first” in 1929; he is now no longer classed within the very own, inspired, Golden-Bowl validated parameters which he described, “the living saints only”. Please also note: most, if not all of his early pioneers are all deceased —**not “living saints”**. Thus, when the *Mustard Seed* begins to grow, with living saints, it will then, at that time, be the least of all seeds: smaller than Carmel, Bashan, HOK, BSDA, etc. For all other groups have, in 1955, spun off of VTH’s small beginning. At the time of his death, the numbers of Davidians were possibly as large as they have ever been. You must answer to those to whom you recommend to join your spued-out destined, hell-bound “class” of “book burners”: Why have you rejected VTH’s rendition of the *Mustard Seed Kingdom*, and why have you ignored this “golden bowl Oil” of the *Mustard Seed*? Could it be because you have overlooked it or ignored it, you have risen up in opposition to the Lord’s *mustard seed*? Consider yet another text: **[GBR, M]**

*“Mustard seed being the smallest of all seeds this parable shows that that which is to start the Kingdom will be very insignificant, contrary to all human expectation. Nevertheless, like the mustard plant becomes the biggest of all herbs, so the Kingdom shall grow and become the greatest of all kingdoms. This being contrary to all human planning, it is but natural that those who are like Nicodemus, and continue to be ashamed to be identified with something that is unpopular, hated, and insignificant, will as a result be left out of the Kingdom.” — VT Houteff ,2TG 11, p6*

Could it be my brother/sister (?) that you recommend burning this publication because it came unexpectedly? Could it be that it was not clearly spelled out for you in your careless perusal of the *Rod*; therefore, it was contrary to your human planning? Could your disdain for it possible be due to its unpopularity among not just Davidians but all Christians? If so, you had better change your demeanor towards it immediately, because, according to the Golden Bowl from whence you claim to receive your oil, you will be excluded from the kingdom. Instead of this author, you, my troubled brother, have been snagged into a stagnated, until solicited, snarling and sinister satanic

## *The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006*

---

sleeper cell. He has now summoned you to service. This is why you have scrawled and scribbled your cyanided citation to the Savior's small but sumptuous and shining seed. Davidia has faltered and fallen back into lukewarmness because they read the *Rod* differently than VTH demanded in 5A, p29 cited above. Only considering some words but not every word, they began to forge plans which the Lord did not intend. Now, they are shocked because the truth has unfolded just as VTH promised, is contrary "to human planning" and "expectation". You and Davidia did not expect or plan that the Lord would elevate Israel from the downtrodden masses of America's former slaves. Neither did you anticipate that the son of David would proceed from the midst of them. You did not expect that you would be pointed to the Bible and have revealed to you, the "complete Savior" (EGW VI, p 163). You perhaps never thought that one of such low estimation could baffle you with Scripture and SOP doctrine. You must now decide if you will, unlike Nicodemus, openly embrace all of the *Rod*, even the unpopular portions, and truly become a 100% *Rod*, or you will stand with the Lord's enemies and be a 100% *Rod* pretender, a Davidian hypocrite.

**SECTION THREE: When the Towers Fall** ~~~~~ It may be true that VTH understood the Slaughter of *Isa 30* to apply to the day of *Ezek 9*, but can we as 100%-*Rod* adherents prove this conclusion from Scripture? If not, we are commanded by the Holy Spirit through VTH to not hold fast to that specific doctrine. VTH's employment of the Text which precedes the falling towers, the verse which describes 'rivers and streams of waters on every high mountain', is interpreted by him to apply to Davidia's work for the Sunday-keeping churches; this conclusion has been proven by the MSC but the timing and evangelical targets are not in order. The waters are not everywhere, only in the high mountains showing a limited outreach. The water which they will distribute to a specific class within those churches (high mountains) is to come from the fountain, the same fountain promised to the house of David (*Zech 13*) and the same one promised by the Lord in *John four* which identifies to Davidia the name of the Lord, "the Gift of God" (Jesus), and the hidden presence Who resided upon Him, Christ. These waters, the truth reveals, are distributed to a segment within those high mountains; it is before, *Ezek nine*; yet it is in the day of —not God's slaughter, but— another great slaughter, 911, the same day when inspiration (the moon) shines with the brightness of the Sun. Yet, all careless, unsafe, and insane perusers of the *Rod*, have failed to notice that the term "watch" and "pulpits" quoted by you in your E-mail are expressions not contained in the Bible Text itself but have been parenthetically added by VTH in his publication when he sought to teach *Isa 30: 25*. Neither is the theme of the waters covering the earth included in *Isa 30*. He said, **[GBR, N]** "*Obviously, when God's waters of salvation cover the earth —even to the tops of the mountains, so to speak— then the watch towers (the pulpits), which the people have on their own initiative built, will fall.*" Following his command to prove all things, I feel confident in saying that neither you nor VTH nor any other have license to infer a doctrinal meaning from those additions into the Text. We can excuse VTH because he commanded us to prove his work by Scripture, and also because it was to be unfolded two generations in the future and was not meat in due season then. However, for you there is no excuse. You were warned to validate (prove) his work by the Bible, and you have obviously ignored that mandate while you condemn those, like of the *Mustard Seed Advent* for its strict compliance with the *Rod* and the instructions given in the SOP. The Text simply says, "*And there shall be upon every high mountain, and upon every high hill, rivers and streams of waters in the day of the great slaughter, when the towers fall...the light of the moon shall be as the light of the sun...in the day that the Lord bindeth up the breach of his people...*" (*Isa 30: 25, 26*) Logic would indicate that waters in the highest mountains would indicate a world flood. But this Text describes —not oceans of waters, but—rivers and streams of waters. This is a depiction of the Lord seeking to recover Israel who is not in Zion, but the other churches. Theological institutions which, in spiritual majesty, may not be as elevated as the SDA church but are much higher than the low lands, the non-Christian but pagan atmosphere in which Israel was first scattered 2700 years ago. This theme is clarified in the same long study but in the ensuing chapters 33-35 whereby different metaphors are used. It says,

## Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers

*“...The wilderness and the solitary place shall be glad for them (the true Davidian Vanguard) and the desert shall rejoice, and blossom as the rose....Say to them that are of a fearful heart, be strong, fear not: behold, your God will come with vengeance...he will come and save you. Then the eyes of the blind shall be opened, and the ears of the deaf shall be unstopped. Then shall the lame man leap as an hart, and the tongue of the dumb sing: for in the wilderness (America) shall waters break out, and streams in the desert...And an highway shall be there (the path from America to Palestine) and it shall be called the way of holiness the wayfaring men (SDA ministry, evangelist, see next section), though fools (unwise virgins) shall not err therein...and the ransomed of the Lord shall return, and come to Zion with songs and everlasting joy upon their heads...” —Isa 35*

They return to the Lord, but they come to Zion; they are brought into the SDA Church before they are taken on the “highway” to Palestine. This describes the Lord’s invisible return to “bind up the breach of His people” and to take the reins into His own hands. He is distraught that none have cared for Jerusalem, His people; that they are deserted (desert), that they have been misused and abused in the wilderness (America) among the Sunday-keeping churches. They have been fleeced and left in a state of blindness and poverty. Thus will streams of water break forth on the mountains. Therefore Christ promises to seek His sheep and search them out.

*“My sheep wandered through all the mountains, and upon every high hill...Therefore O ye shepherds, hear the word of the Lord;...I am against the shepherds; and will require my flock at their hand...for I will deliver my flock from their mouth...For thus saith the Lord God; Behold, I, even I, will both search my sheep, and seek them out. I will feed my flock, and I will cause them to lie down, saith the Lord God. As for you O my flock...behold, I judge between cattle and cattle, between the rams and the he goats.(Israel is described as sheep, the church as cattle and goats) Therefore will I save my flock and they shall no more be a prey; and I will judge between cattle and cattle. And I will set up one shepherd over them (over both the sheep and the cattle), and he shall feed them, even my servant David ” —Ezek 34*

He comes to Judge Laodicea, His current flock and to search for Israel, His dispersed. But you, my brother/sister(?) are suggesting that, in the day of *Ezek 9*, the leaders of other Christian denominations, Babylonian churches, like vast towers will collapse to the ground, fall. This claim does not refute the MSC; it shows your disrespect for VTH as it makes him appear to contradict himself. For the *Rod* claims that Babylon the great, after *Ezek 9*, will sit on the seven heads which are the seven mountains. It further states that **[GBR, P]** “...the heads are symbols of so-called Christian denominations, and as she sits on them all, it denotes a union of churches under one head ---‘the woman.’” —2SR page 122. This hardly describes a collapse of Babylonian “watch towers” in “the pulpits”. VTH also explains that the time of her reign, “the ‘one hour’ is obviously the time from the 11th hour to the twelfth allegorical hour set forth in *Matt 20:6*.” — VT Houteff, 2TG 18 p22. Either Babylon emerges after *Ezek 9* or she falls: which one is it? The resolution should not be to make VTH contradict himself but to follow his commands and prove his work from the Bible.

Contrary to your unproven misuse of the *Rod*, the towers here and the “great slaughter” described do not refer to *Ezek 9*, but refers to another major event which can be established more fully in the prior chapter, *Isa 29*, the chapter which introduces the judgments to befall America, one of the MSC’s very theme. It points to a time when the fear of terrorism afflicts Ariel (the Wilderness/America), *Isa 29: 7-9*. This fear of being under incessant terroristic hazard after 911 is like a dream, it is not reality. “*The multitude of all the nations that fight against Ariel...that distress her, shall be as a dream of a night vision*”. It continues to show that her enemies will not continue to successfully attack her, the Lord will terrorize America instead. It says, “*Thou shalt be visited of the Lord of hosts with thunder, and with earthquake, and great noise, with storms, and tempest, and the flame of devouring fire.*” —*Isa 29- 6* (the same contextual study). [Again proving the validation of the MSC because, as stated by the 1<sup>st</sup> Angel’s Message, it further clarifies the hour of His (God’s) judgment as every message in the Adventist era should do]. Therefore, it is no coincidence that, since 911, America has seen many more destructive hurricanes, tornadoes, and fires, and earthquakes (soon to come). It is also no coincidence that she has had many domestic terroristic threats but none have become reality; they have been like bad dreams. For we live in the day when the towers have fallen. God may not have clarified these events for VTH’s generation, but He put these Scriptures

## The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006

---

in the Bowl and gave us a command to read every word and prove all things so that we may have manna from Heaven today. This is exactly what the “faithful and wise steward” is distributing.

**SECTION FOUR: CARMEL AND BASHAN SHAKE OFF** ~~~~~ The study showing more specific clarity of “the Hour of God’s judgment” on America is, as should be expected, a vast subject, and it covers six chapters of Isaiah from 29 – 35. In fact Chapter 33 two chapters prior to the one explained above, begins by pronouncing a “woe”. I will not give this study in an E-mail but will address your concern about Bashan and Carmel. The woe is pronounced on the enemies of God’s people, Israel, and shows that God has not yet punished this nation. He has waited for her to finish her affliction of Israel. Then beginning with verse 5, He describes —not America, but— Zion, a clear reference to the SDA church. He promises, in the day when the towers fall, to fill her, Zion, with **judgment and righteousness** —a clear pre-*Ezek nine* reference for if the wicked in Zion have been slaughtered, how can the Lord fill her with more judgment? This analogy also proves that the “great slaughter” chapter 30 does not represent the destruction to occur in *Ezek nine*. For in that day, according to the sequence of consecutive verses, Zion is yet to receive her judgment, there are still sinners in Zion, Isa 33: 14. But what about the righteousness? —in chapter 33: 5 the Lord promises to fill Zion with judgment and righteousness. This is an obvious reference to the pre-*Ezek nine* effort which righteous Davidia, headed by David, is to make by ceasing her work for the church and for all her excuse makers. He is sent “*out quickly into the streets and lanes of the city, and bring in hither the poor, and the maimed, and the halt, and the blind and the servant said, Lord, it is done...and the Lord said...go out into the highways and hedges...that my house may be filled*” —Luke 14: 21-23. In harmony with this theme, the Golden bowl states, [GBR, Q]

*“In Luke’s parable of the great supper, Christ again brings to view both classes. On the one hand, Saul’s sympathizers prefigure in the parable those who excused themselves on the grounds that they were too busy with the cares of this life...On the other hand, David’s followers typify those who were found in ‘the streets and lanes’ of the city —‘the poor, and the maimed, and the halt, and the blind.’ Luke 14: 17-24 ”*—VT Houteff, 8T 56.

Clearly this VTH exegesis describes the *Mustard Seed Kingdom*, described by VTH above (see GBR<sub>L</sub> & M), headed by David —not the oppressors, Saul and his sympathizers. It depicts the “**unpopular**” event which is to go “contrary to human planning”. It explains why the high-minded Davidians, the Nicodemuses, will be left out of the kingdom. Yet it is a monumentally ignored *Rod* theme; for, even though in the Bowl and part of every word that we are commanded to study, because it is contrary to “human expectations and planning” Davidia simply ignores it. A more careful exegesis unfolds that America’s former slaves will be brought in before the slaughter. From their ranks, the 144,000 will emerge. This will all occur before *Ezek nine* and before the message goes to the world, “the highways and hedges.” (see MSC, 2.12, 4.0). Thus, shortly after the towers fall, David brings in these formerly despised servants, and they replace the members who have rejected righteousness. This occurs before *Ezek 9*, and by this first fruit harvest, the promise of *Isa 33* for Zion is fulfilled; He, the Lord, fills Zion with judgment and righteousness.

The lukewarm Laodiceans which, according to VTH above (GBR<sub>F</sub> & H) are to receive judgment which culminates in *Ezek nine* but is not limited to that catastrophe. It begins by their living with the certainty that they have been rejected by the Lord, just as described in *Isa 65, 66*, and in other Scriptures: essentially, their fears (of damnation) are brought upon them (*Isa 66: 4*). For with both groups, when the Lord called, they did not answer. But the good news is that Zion, before *Ezek nine*, will also be filled with righteousness, just as promised in the beginning of this study by Isaiah, “...*there shall be upon every high mountain...rivers and streams of waters in the day of the great slaughter, when the towers fall*” —*Isa 30: 25*. Notice this harvest is not all encompassing; it is not worldwide; it is limited to “every high mountain and high hill” every American, Christian body. For only Christian churches can be described as “high” mountains or theological centers elevated above the earth. But, Isaiah continues to show that this harvest is limited to the outcast in

## Mountain Fountain & Falling Towers

America, the descendants of her former slaves. He does so by declaring in chapter 32 that the wilderness shall become a fruitful field and the fruitful field becomes a forest. Other *Rod*-employed, Bible studies validate this conclusion as well. (MSC 4.0). It shows how the Lord will bring in—not from the world, but from the streets and lanes of the city—the first fruits to take the crowns intended originally for the nominal, lukewarm Adventists. These people are the Gold we are counseled to buy of Him: **[GBR, R]**:

**“There are diligent students of the word of prophecy in all parts of the world, who are obtaining light and still greater light from searching the Scriptures...These will come from the grossest error, and will take the place of those who have had opportunities and privileges and have not prized them. These have worked out their own salvation with fear and trembling, lest they should become deficient in doing the ways and will of God, while those who have had great light (Davidians?), through the perversity of their own natural heart, turned away from Christ because they were displeased with His requirements. But God will not be left without witnesses. The One Hour Laborers will be brought in at the 11th Hour and will consecrate their ability and all their entrusted means to advance the work...When those who have had abundance of light (Davidians?) throw off the restraint which the Word of God imposes (commit idolatry by exalting SOP above the Word), and make void His law, others will come in to fill their places and take their crown”** —EG White, R & H, Vol. 3, p 473, Col., 2:3, Date, June 15, 1897 (Parenthesis and under line added)

Clearly by this quote from EGW and by VTH’s commentary of *Luke 14*, the Bowl plainly manifests that another class is to be brought into the SDA Church so as to perform the task which you and your lukewarm twins within Laodicea have laid down. They will be distressed Christian saints who will be found standing idle in the streets and lanes of the city, the market place, and will become God’s 11<sup>th</sup> hour workers to finish the Gospel appeal to the world, the Highways and Hedges, the second fruits. Truly, ‘rivers and streams shall be upon every high mountain’. You say when, in the day when the towers fall, the day when **“the Lord bindeth up the breach of his people, and healeth the stroke of their wound”** —Isa 30: 26. In that day the moon will shine as the brightness of the sun. But the prophecies describing Zion continues beyond the Text showing the Judgment and righteousness that the Lord is to fill her with. It begins from Isa 33: 7 and continues through 14 and beyond. Verse 14 affirms this by saying **“the sinners in Zion are afraid...”**. Since this occurs in the day when the towers fall, in the day when the moon shines with the brightness of the sun, we have proof positive that the falling towers and the great slaughter of *Isa 30* is not related to *Ezek nine*. For how could the sinners in Zion become afraid if they have already been slaughtered?

Notice, sandwiched amidst this description of Zion, verses 7-14 (**“Golden Bowl cited text, part of the every word”**) her ambassadors of peace (**her evangelist and ministers**) shall weep bitterly. They discover that they are lost, found without the extra oil. Therefore, they no longer have motivation to preach the Lord’s Gospel of peace, His salvation. For in verses seven through nine, again describing the events to befall Zion, says: **“Behold, their valiant ones shall cry without: the ambassadors of peace shall weep bitterly. The highways lie waste, the wayfaring man ceaseth: he hath broken the covenant, he hath despised the cities, he regardeth no man** (being lost, he is no longer driven to save others). **The earth mourneth and languisheth: Lebanon is ashamed and hewn down: Sharon is like a wilderness; and Bashan and Carmel shake off their fruits”**. Here we also see four groups of people in addition to the “ambassadors of peace”: (1) Lebanon she is ashamed and hewn down. This is an obvious reference to the Millerite movement that ended its ambassadorship for peace with disappointment; she was shamed; manifestly, the Bible describes her in past-tense. She is mentioned here because, though no longer in existence, her 2300 day doctrine still dominates Adventist theology. (2) Sharon is the second group within Zion. She is depicted in the present tense to be devoid of fruit; for she was formerly, before 911 a fruitful field; but afterwards, she has become a wilderness, an obvious reference to her corruption and disorder. (3 & 4) You have Carmel and Bashan. These groups bring good news to Zion as the best of her efforts have indeed produced the fruits of the Spirit. This is affirmation—not deception—to Davidia’s high calling. They prove that which VTH has stated all along: that Davidia, previous to this hour, was the only fruit bearing locales in Zion, the only source of present truth, to cite your above quote, (see **GBR, B**). However, in the day when the towers fall, in the time of 911, even they begin to “shake off”. For a plant to shake off her fruit means that her season has reached its fullness; she has

## *The Mustard Seed Advent, 10-Jun-2006*

---

ripened. It further indicates that she is no longer fruit-bearing and can no longer contain within her, those fruits which she has produced. If you doubt this application, then simply ask to whom else in Zion could this pertain? Is there some other branch of Laodicea that is bearing fruit by proclaiming God's end-time truth? The fact that the Lord has declared His voice in Davidia is the greatest evidence that she has been a fruit-bearing vineyard of the Lord. But, sadly, her time is up, and today her fruit is steadily being shaken off. The Lord desires to receive dividends from His years of effort and investment, therefore, David —not Saul (Lukewarm Laodiceans) and his sympathizers (lukewarm Davidians)— are commissioned to gather them. For if they do not, who then will go to the streets and lanes of the cities and make that wilderness and desert to rejoice and blossom as the rose (read continuation of this study in Isa 35.) These themes may be contrary to Davidia's human planning but they are *Rod* validated and Bible affirmed. Therefore, even though they are "unpopular", people such as you and other Saul sympathizers desiring the work to finish differently will be still held accountable.

**Section Five: Milkologist** ~~~~~ You seem offended by my reference to EGW and VTH as an Inspired Milkologist. It is not an insult but a praise. For Paul himself professes to be one who served only Milk. By elevating VTH and EGW to that level of inspiration, is a praise of their work. They deserve this title —not because they did not teach meat doctrines in their day, but— because they taught the same doctrine which all Christians have taught until today, the principle doctrines of Christ. They both believed that Jesus pre-existed. Neither understood the duality of His nature, that Christ's invisible presence abode upon Him and "commanded to Jesus what He should say". Since they did not do as the Holy Spirit prophesied and abandon the Principles of the doctrine of Christ, they continued to feed the church "milk" in this particular regard. For Paul says and the Bowl quotes that "*everyone who uses milk is unskillful in the Word for He is a babe, but strong meat belongeth to them who are of full age who by reason of use discern both good and evil. Therefore, leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection.*" To be a purveyor of strong meat, one must, according to Paul, "use" that is, put to good use that very product of enlightenment; only thus can one "discern both good and evil.

Due to the length of this reply to your lengthy document, I must curtail my comments and hope that any desiring to have further clarification, will read the publication or attend the next seminar. I urge you to repent of your vehement apostasy today while there is still time.

Sincerely,

Derek